UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,302	09/09/2003	William Shelmon	TTC-13002/08	TTC-13002/08 2137	
	7590 09/26/2007 ASS, SPRINKLE,		EXAMINER		
ANDERSON &	citkowski, p.c.		LARSON, JUSTIN MATTHEW		
P.O. BOX 7021 TROY, MI 48007-7021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3782		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

\sim	P
	Λ_

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/658,302	SHELMON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin M. Larson	3782	

	handler BA I aman	0700			
	Justin M. Larson	3782	<u> </u>		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following					
time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection				
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the infal rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL		<i>c</i> ,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS	hara adama aharah aharah Silima a balah	will and he makes a le			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	,	i L below),			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).	•			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	•	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		·		
	N europe europe	MYNUUU ATHAN J. NEWHOU	USE XAMINER		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The horizontal support surface of the container receptor portion being planar and integral with a bottom end of the container receptor portion is a new issue not previously presented in the claims. Examiner notes that this amendment is similar to the language agreed upon in the interview held 8/28/07, however, the agreed upon language included mention that the support surface formed a closed end of the container receptor portion to define over Dennison. Such language, including the closed end idea or not, was not previously mentioned in the claims and requires further consideration and/or search before a patentability determination is made.

NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER